

Appendix B

Question	Mr King (Chairman of the Select Committee on Kent's European Relations)	Mr Angell – Chairman of Select Committee on Commissioning
1) Whether Select Committees should remain as formal Sub Committees (with the statutory provision that relate to them) or	Select Committees should remain part of the formal process - to make them task and finish groups devalues them	Agree with Mr King
2) Whether Select Committee should be task and finish groups set up by the Scrutiny Committee (these need not carry out any of their process in public)and submitting their final report to the Scrutiny Committee in open session for approval		
3) In the case of 1) or 2) whether Select Committees should continue to be able to hold informal/private meetings to enable them to have free and frank discussions on their areas of recommendations and draft report or whether all meetings should be held in public.	Yes to private meetings - public meeting to finalise	Yes but made clear to the members of the committee.
4) The sign off process for Select Committee reports, whether this should be done at a formal meeting of the Committee open to the press and public or whether agreement of the Committee should be sought to, if necessary carry out a sign off process via email.	Formal meeting of Select Committee to sign off	Yes by email initially but if they are any disagreements, then by committee sitting in public.

Question	Mr King (Chairman of the Select Committee on Kent's European Relations)	Mr Angell – Chairman of Select Committee on Commissioning
Whether the final report should continue to be submitted to Cabinet or just to County Council (at County Council the Cabinet Member could then be asked to respond to the Scrutiny Committee on the recommendations)	<p>Presentation to Cabinet and County Council should continue.</p> <p>Scrutiny Committee should retain oversight.</p>	Cabinet then County Council.